The Financial Implications of Integrating Geomorphic Tactile Display Technology into Smart Devices: An Economic Perspective

Tyron Tegwen Warlimont
Pius-Shaun Warlimont
Mzansi Youth Leadership Academy NPO|NGO, South Africa
perkussin@gmail.com
pswarlimont@gmail.com

Abstract

Integrating geomorphic tactile display technology into smartphones represents a transformative leap in assistive technology and consumer devices. This paper examines the economic ramifications of incorporating this technology into smartphones, of introducing geomorphic tactile display devices as alternatives to conventional Braille devices and smartphones. These innovative solutions, leveraging ferrofluid and geomorphic principles, promise cost efficiency, scalability, and inclusivity using the 'iPhone 15 Pro' and 'Samsung Galaxy Z Flip' as benchmarks. By comparing costs, market viability, and potential savings, we assess how geomorphic tactile displays could redefine device affordability, accessibility, and functionality for both general consumers and visually impaired users.

Key words: accessibility, Braille, 3D Imaging, geomorphic display, ferrofluid
J.E.L. classification: I20, O36, C6

1. Introduction

What are ferromagnetic fluids and magnetic fluids? If you're familiar with magnetic fields then you will know how iron filings or other micro conductive elements such as copper react to the presence of magnetic fields, by forming electromagnetic field lines indicating the poles of the magnet namely north and south poles. Thus, ferromagnetic fluid is a hybridized liquid polymer consisting of iron filings in a liquid solution.

Can you imagine how ferromagnetic fluids in electronic devices like smartphones, laptops or smart Tv's? How they would drastically change the nature of user interaction. The felicity of being able to share digitalized books photos or perhaps even videos through the added sense of touch. Imagine a device where one can download millions of books in Braille in the palm of the hand!

Smartphones have become indispensable tools for communication, education, and accessibility. However, their utility for visually impaired users remains limited without additional costly assistive devices. The integration of geomorphic tactile display technology—a system capable of rendering dynamic 3D tactile surfaces—into smartphones could revolutionize how users interact with devices. This study explores the financial implications of such integration, focusing on cost benchmarks, potential savings, and economic accessibility.

Bridging Economic Barriers in Assistive Technology Access to assistive devices for the visually impaired, such as Braille readers, remains limited due to high costs and technological constraints. Devices like the Chameleon 20, priced at $ 1,715 (approximately R 31,723 in South Africa), pose financial barriers for individuals, educational institutions, and governments in low-income regions. Geomorphic tactile displays offer a potential breakthrough in affordability and functionality. This paper examines their financial viability and transformative potential in both developed and emerging markets.

2. Theoretical background

To create a digital device display that can manipulate the geomorphic properties of ferrofluids which can be used to display text and images in 3D or rather 4D if you include the sense of touch with respect to time. Theoretically it would be possible to practically demonstrate how ferrofluids can be manipulated. Using this knowledge the final goal is to create a geomorphic digital display for application in modern devices such cell phones, laptops, TVs becomes a possible reality, this would be highly beneficial for all types of users including visually impaired persons that want to learn how to read braille or the advanced braille reader who want to have access to thousands of books all on one device, removing the clutter and costs of purchasing hardcopy braille books, or for institutions that have high volumes of educational materials, like libraries and specialised schools.

Programming Methods that use AI algorithms can be useful tools for an easier smoother interface with all data points in the fluid making it easier to program track, model, trouble shoot, update and modify the device is in its development and testing phase.

Since frequency and geometric shapes are related through standing waves, which are invisible patterns that occur when waves reflect and pass through each other. These patterns are made up of zones of vibration and points of no vibration that remain stationary while the electric field is present. Changing the frequency will also change emergent pattern.

Comparative use cases and accessibility:

- *Standard smartphone users.* Integrating geomorphic tactile displays provides users with a novel way to interact with data. Examples include:

- 3D tactile maps for navigation.
- Interactive shapes for gaming and design.
- Textures for immersive reading or education.

- *Visually impaired users.* The primary audience for tactile technology stands to gain the most.
- *Enhanced accessibility***.** Real-time Braille rendering and tactile imagery bridge gaps in digital literacy and accessibility.

3. Research methodology

The research aims to develop a ferrofluid-based tactile display that allows visually impaired users to perceive and interact with visual information through touch. Ferrofluids, which respond to magnetic fields, can be manipulated to form physical shapes or patterns. This research seeks to explore how ferrofluids can be effectively used to create tactile representations that are both perceivable and meaningful for users with visual impairments.

3.1. The research objectives of the present study are:

Objective 1: Comparative Cost Analysis: Current Devices vs. Enhanced Smartphones

Objective 2: To design and develop a prototype tactile display using ferrofluids.

Objective 3: To investigate the optimal magnetic field configurations that enable ferrofluids to form stable and perceivable tactile shapes.

Objective 4: To evaluate the effectiveness of the tactile display in conveying visual information through touch.

Objective 5: To assess the usability and user experience of the ferrofluid display for visually impaired users.

3.2. Research design. A mixed-methods approach will be used, combining experimental design, usability testing, and qualitative interviews to evaluate the effectiveness and user experience of the ferrofluid-based tactile display.

3.3. Prototype Development

Materials and Components:

- Ferrofluid: A colloidal liquid made of nanoscale ferromagnetic particles suspended in a carrier fluid.

- Magnetic Field Generators: Electromagnets or permanent magnets to control the shape and movement of the ferrofluid.
- Tactile Surface: A smooth, non-magnetic surface that allows users to feel the ferrofluid shapes without interference.
- Control System: A micro-controller or computer interface to manipulate the magnetic fields and change the ferrofluid patterns.

Design and Fabrication:

- Develop a display surface where ferrofluids can be manipulated into different shapes or patterns.
- Integrate a magnetic field control system to dynamically change the ferrofluid shapes.
- Ensure that the tactile display is safe and comfortable for users to touch and interact with.

3.4. Magnetic Field Configuration

Experimental Setup:

- Test various magnetic field strengths, orientations, and configurations to determine the best conditions for creating stable and distinguishable shapes.
- Use simulations and physical experiments to optimize the magnetic control system.

Measurements:

- Measure the stability, size, and sharpness of ferrofluid shapes under different magnetic conditions.
- Evaluate how different patterns (e.g., lines, dots, complex shapes) can be formed and maintained over time.

3.5. User Testing and Evaluation

Participants:

- Recruit visually impaired individuals of varying ages and levels of visual impairment.
- Include a diverse sample to account for different levels of tactile sensitivity and experience with tactile displays.

Testing Procedure:

- Introduce participants to the ferrofluid display and provide instructions on how to explore the tactile patterns.
- Present a series of tasks where participants must identify, differentiate, or interpret tactile shapes and patterns.
- Record feedback on ease of use, comfort, and perceived effectiveness of the display.

3.6. Data Collection

Quantitative Data: Measure task performance metrics such as accuracy, speed, and error rates.

Qualitative Data: Conduct semi-structured interviews to gather participants' experiences, preferences, and suggestions for improvement.

3.7. Data Analysis

Quantitative Analysis:

- Use statistical methods to analyse task performance data.
- Compare different magnetic configurations and their impact on shape recognition and tactile feedback.

Qualitative Analysis:

- Perform thematic analysis of interview transcripts to identify common themes, challenges, and user preferences.
- Synthesize qualitative feedback to guide further development and refinement of the display.

3.8. Iterative Design and Prototyping

- Based on the initial user testing results, refine the prototype to address any identified issues.
- Implement changes to improve the usability, comfort, and effectiveness of the tactile display.

- Conduct additional rounds of testing with new participants to validate the improvements.

3.9. Ethical Considerations

Informed Consent: Ensure that all participants are fully informed about the research objectives and procedures before participating.

Anonymity and Confidentiality: Protect participants' identities and personal data throughout the research process.

Accessibility and Safety: Ensure that the tactile display is designed with safety and accessibility in mind, avoiding any risks to participants.

3.10. Expected Outcomes

- A functional ferrofluid-based tactile display that can form stable and recognizable shapes.
- Insights into the optimal magnetic field configurations for tactile shape formation.
- Positive feedback from visually impaired users regarding the display's effectiveness and usability.

3.11. Limitations and Future Research

Limitations:

- Potential challenges in maintaining consistent shape fidelity due to the nature of ferrofluids.
- Variability in users' tactile perception, which may affect the generalizability of results.

Future Research:

- Explore more advanced control systems for finer shape manipulation.
- Investigate the integration of auditory or haptic feedback to enhance the user experience.

4. Findings

4.1. Comparative Cost Analysis: Current Devices vs. Enhanced Smartphones

4.1.1. High Costs of Traditional Devices

Current Braille devices and smartphones tailored for the visually impaired, such as the Orbit Reader 20 and Chameleon 20, are prohibitively expensive. Producing these devices involves specialized components and low-volume manufacturing, which inflate costs. For example:

Orbit Reader 20: $ 699

Chameleon 20: $ 1,715

The Chameleon's 20-cell refreshable braille display and Perkins-style keyboard provide a comfortable reading and writing experience. Superior internal intelligence and multiple modes of connectivity allow students to use the Chameleon as a stand-alone notetaker, or as a braille display to edit assignments on the computer.

Educational institutions serving visually impaired students often face budget constraints, limiting accessibility and hindering literacy development.

4.1.2. Current Costs of Benchmark Devices

1. iPhone 15 Pro
   - Base Model Price: $ 999 approx. R 18,000 in South Africa
   - Advanced hardware and high consumer demand contribute to this pricing.

2. Samsung Galaxy Z Flip 5
   - Base Model Price: $ 999
   - Known for its foldable display, the Galaxy Z Flip demonstrates the market's appetite for innovative yet premium-priced features.

4.2. Hypothetical Cost Impact of Geomorphic Integration

The addition of geomorphic tactile display technology could introduce the following cost factors:

Ferrofluid and Magnetic Systems: Material costs for Ferrofluid are scalable but could initially add $50–$100 per device during early adoption stages.

Software and AI Development: Custom algorithms for managing tactile displays may increase development costs, adding an estimated $20 – $50 per unit.

Manufacturing Adjustments: Integration of geomorphic systems into existing production lines could increase costs by $30 – $70 per unit in the short term but decrease with mass adoption.

Estimated Additional Cost: $100 – $200 per smartphone in early adoption stages, reducing over time due to economies of scale.

4.2.1. Economic Viability of Geomorphic Smartphone Displays

Financial Advantages

1. Multi-Purpose Functionality. Integration into smartphones eliminates the need for separate Braille devices (e.g., $1,715 Chameleon 20), creating significant cost savings for users and institutions.

2. Market Expansion. Inclusion of tactile displays in mainstream smartphones makes the technology universally available, increasing demand across consumer segments and fostering innovation.

3. Mass Production Efficiencies

- High production volumes reduce per-unit costs over time.
- Similar trends in display technology (e.g., foldable screens) suggest a rapid cost curve improvement for geomorphic systems.

Market Dynamics: Smartphones with Geomorphic Displays

Market Growth Potential: Smartphones with geomorphic tactile displays could cater to both mainstream and specialized markets.

Premium Tier: Positioned alongside flagship devices, appealing to early adopters and accessibility advocates.

Mass Adoption Tier: Gradual price reductions enable penetration into mid-range markets, enhancing universal accessibility.

Challenges in Pricing Accessibility

Emerging Markets: Initial costs may remain prohibitive for consumers in low-income regions. Governments or corporations may need to subsidize devices to ensure accessibility.

Comparative Market Analysis & Smartphone Market Potential

Global smartphone shipments in 2023 reached over 1.2 billion units, with a projected compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 6.8% through 2030.

Introducing geomorphic tactile displays as a standard feature could create a new premium segment, boosting revenue for manufacturers while enhancing inclusivity.

Cost to Value Proposition

Geomorphic displays enhance value for both visually impaired and general users, justifying a moderate price increase for added functionality.

Institutions, particularly in education and healthcare, may prioritize such devices for inclusive accessibility.

Broader Reach: Unlike niche features like foldable displays, tactile technology benefits all users, especially the visually impaired, offering greater market appeal.

Cost Reduction: By consolidating functions into a single device, users save thousands of dollars currently spent on separate Braille devices.

Institutional Use

Educational institutions, libraries, and organizations could benefit from mass adoption.

Economic Efficiency: Equipping classrooms or training centres with geomorphic smartphones reduces costs compared to standalone devices.

Inclusivity in Learning: Tactile displays democratize access to content, improving literacy and vocational training for visually impaired students.

Competitive Edge for Manufacturers

Differentiation: Manufacturers that adopt tactile technology early gain a competitive edge in both premium and accessibility segments.

Brand Equity: Offering inclusive technologies enhances reputation and fosters brand loyalty among a diverse customer base.

4.2.2. Financial Modelling: Long-Term Cost Efficiency

Economies of Scale

Initial Costs: Higher due to R&D and manufacturing retooling.

Projected Reduction: Costs drop by 30 – 50% over five years as production scales and material sourcing improves.

Cost Savings for Users

Example Scenario:

Current Cost for Braille Device + Smartphone: approx. $2,700 ($1,700 for Braille device + $1,000 for smartphone).

Geomorphic Smartphone Cost: approx. $1,200 – 1,400 (Initial premium of $200 for tactile tech, reducing over time).

Net Savings: $1,300 – 1,500 per user.

Revenue Opportunities

Hardware Sales: New markets emerge as tactile displays appeal to general consumers and niche audiences alike.

Software Ecosystem: Subscription-based apps utilizing tactile features (e.g., 3D tactile books or training simulations) create recurring revenue streams.

Emerging Market Accessibility & Subsidy Models

Governments and organizations could subsidize devices to enhance accessibility for low-income groups, particularly in developing nations.

Potential Cost to Governments

Subsidizing approx. $300 per device for visually impaired users.

Economic Return

Increased productivity and reduced social welfare dependency could offset subsidy costs over time.

Local Manufacturing and Job Creation

Encouraging local assembly of geomorphic-enabled smartphones could drive economic growth in developing countries.

5. Conclusion

Incorporating geomorphic tactile displays into smartphones promises to redefine accessibility and interaction across demographics. When benchmarked against devices like the Orbit Reader 20, Chameleon 20, Orbit Reader 20, iPhone 15 Pro and Samsung Galaxy Z Flip, tactile geomorphic display technology demonstrates comparable cost implications with broader user benefits. Over time, reduced costs, mass adoption, and expanded markets could establish tactile geomorphic displays as a standard feature in many smart devices, delivering profound economic, social, and technological value.

6. References

- American Printing House, 2024. *Chameleon-20.* [online]. Available at: https://www.aph.org/product/chameleon-20/ [Accessed on 1 September 2024].
- American Thermoform, 2024. *Why are there so few braille books?* [online] Available at: https://americanthermoform.com/why-are-there-so-few-braille books/#:~:text=It%20is%20more%20time%20consuming,written%20book%20into%20braille%20format [Accessed on 6 September 2024]

- Braille Literacy, 2024. *Braille: Literacy For The Blind.* [online] Available at: https://brailleliteracy.weebly.com/advantagesdisadvantages.html [Accessed on 5 September 2024]
- Chris. A., 2024. *Cymatics, The Universe and Sacred Geometry.* [online] Available at: https://chris-anne.com/cymatics-and-sacred-geometry/ [Accessed on 5 September 2024]
- Fahrety, J., 2016. *Proponents say that the Decline is Braille Instruction Is leading to Illiteracy.* [online] Available at: https://nfb.org/sites/default/files/images/nfb/publications/bm/bm06/bm0609/bm060905.html [Accessed on 1 September 2024]
- Khana Academy, 2024. *Magnetic Flux and Faraday's Law.* [online] Available at: https://www.khanacademy.org/science/physics/magnetic-forces-and-magnetic-fields/magnetic-flux-faradays-law/a/what-is-magnetic-flux#:~:text=Magnetic%20flux%20is%20a%20measurement,something%20occupying%20a%20given%20area [Accessed on 1 September 2024]
- TED Talks, 2011. *The Thrilling Potential of the Sixth Sense Technology*. [online] Available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YrtANPtnhyg [Accessed on 1 September 2024]
- Wikipedia, 2024. *Electric Fields.* [online] Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electric_field0 [Accessed on 1 September 2024]
- Wikipedia, 2024. *Ferrofluid*. [online] Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ferrofluid [Accessed on 20 August 2024]
- Wikipedia, 2024. *Prenav Mistry.* [online] Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pranav_Mistry [Accessed on 1 September 2024]
- * * * *In One Lesson, Grade 2 Braille [1/7] - How to Memorize 50 of the 64 Braille Cells*, 2012. [online] Available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g9hUmlXyabk [Accessed on 1 September 2024]
- * * * *In One Lesson, How Braille Works*, 2012. [online] Available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sqQ3gdE7ks0 [Accessed on 1 September 2024]
- * * * *Smartphones Market Size, Share, Growth Analysis, By Operating System(Android Smartphones, IOS Smartphones, Others), By Sales Channel(Online/e-Commerce, Offline), By Price(High Range, Medium Range, Low Range), By Region - Industry Forecast 2024-2031*. [online] Available at: https://www.skyquestt.com/report/smartphones-market [Accessed on 15 September 2024]